



Approved for use through 12/31/2008. OMB 0651-0031  
U.S. Patent and Trademark Office, U.S. DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

Under the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995, no persons are required to respond to a collection of information unless it displays a valid OMB control number.

## TRANSMITTAL FORM

(To be used for all correspondence after initial filing)

Total Number of Pages in This Submission

Application Number	10/583,520
Filing Date	05/15/2006
First Named Inventor	FRANK CUNNINGHAM
Art Unit	
Examiner Name	DANIEL A. BERNSTEIN
Attorney Docket Number	

ENCLOSURES (Check all that apply)		
<input type="checkbox"/> Fee Transmittal Form	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Drawing(s)	<input type="checkbox"/> After Allowance Communication to TC
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Fee Attached	<input type="checkbox"/> Licensing-related Papers	<input type="checkbox"/> Appeal Communication to Board of Appeals and Interferences
<input type="checkbox"/> Amendment/Reply	<input type="checkbox"/> Petition	<input type="checkbox"/> Appeal Communication to TC (Appeal Notice, Brief, Reply Brief)
<input type="checkbox"/> After Final	<input type="checkbox"/> Petition to Convert to a Provisional Application	<input type="checkbox"/> Proprietary Information
<input type="checkbox"/> Affidavits/declaration(s)	<input type="checkbox"/> Power of Attorney, Revocation	<input type="checkbox"/> Status Letter
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Extension of Time Request	<input type="checkbox"/> Change of Correspondence Address	<input type="checkbox"/> Other Enclosure(s) (please identify below):
<input type="checkbox"/> Express Abandonment Request	<input type="checkbox"/> Terminal Disclaimer	
<input type="checkbox"/> Information Disclosure Statement	<input type="checkbox"/> Request for Refund	
<input type="checkbox"/> Certified Copy of Priority Document(s)	<input type="checkbox"/> CD, Number of CD(s) _____	
<input type="checkbox"/> Reply to Missing Parts/ Incomplete Application	<input type="checkbox"/> Landscape Table on CD	
<input type="checkbox"/> Reply to Missing Parts under 37 CFR 1.52 or 1.53		
Remarks		

### SIGNATURE OF APPLICANT, ATTORNEY, OR AGENT

Form Name			
Signature			
Printed name	Frank Cunningham		
Date	8/12/08	Reg. No.	

### CERTIFICATE OF TRANSMISSION/MAILING

I hereby certify that this correspondence is being facsimile transmitted to the USPTO or deposited with the United States Postal Service with sufficient postage as first class mail in an envelope addressed to: Commissioner for Patents, P.O. Box 1450, Alexandria, VA 22313-1450 on the date shown below:

Signature			
Typed or printed name	FRANK CUNNINGHAM	Date	8/12/08

This collection of information is required by 37 CFR 1.5. The information is required to obtain or retain a benefit by the public which is to file (and by the USPTO to process) an application. Confidentiality is governed by 35 U.S.C. 122 and 37 CFR 1.11 and 1.14. This collection is estimated to 2 hours to complete, including gathering, preparing, and submitting the completed application form to the USPTO. Time will vary depending upon the individual case. Any comments on the amount of time you require to complete this form and/or suggestions for reducing this burden should be sent to the Chief Information Officer, U.S. Patent and Trademark Office, U.S. Department of Commerce, P.O. Box 1450, Alexandria, VA 22313-1450. DO NOT SEND FEES OR COMPLETED FORMS TO THIS ADDRESS. SEND TO: Commissioner for Patents, P.O. Box 1450, Alexandria, VA 22313-1450.

If you need assistance in completing the form, call 1-800-PTO-9199 and select option 2.

ATTACHED IS A CHECK  
FOR \$65 US DOLLARS  
PLEASE PARDON HANDWRITING  
COMPOSED JUST BROKE



Frank Cunningham  
95 Kearsly Rd  
Crumps all  
Manchester  
M8 4QJ

Email - [Tcun95@aol.com](mailto:Tcun95@aol.com)  
Tel (international code)

UK) 0161 492 0715

Application Number

10/583,520

APP N°

F. A. C.  
Commissioner for PATENTS

Response to report of Daniel Bernstein  
Patent examiner  
United States Patent Office  
USA

Dear Sir / MADAM

This is my response to the report dated 15<sup>th</sup> August 2008.

Further to our recent telephone conversation I would like to reply in detail to ~~your The Examiner's~~ report. I believe I can submit another description so long as it does not go beyond what was already sent and that I could also include drawings to help describe the invention better.

~~I would like to attach my response to the official report before the 18<sup>th</sup> November 2008 and it may be better if I fax this document to make this deadline and have 160 dollars for a months extension.~~

Please let me know you have received this in time. I will try to respond to your report in a detailed way and in the same format as your report section by section to make it easier for me starting with paragraph 1 on your report at page 4

1. I agree with the examiner here I am not fully familiar with the procedures of the US patent office but I do have some experience with the UK patent office and will try my best to work through the process and if I do make some administrative errors please pardon my lack of experience.
2. I will space my other description double spaced and put a proper title to it

3. I will amend this claim and others detailed accordingly
4. I will amend the specification accordingly
5. I will attach drawings and work further on the specification to be more in line with the US patent office procedures
6. With great respect the other inventions that the examiner referred to are not the same as what is in the drawings and specification and could not have been so as self cleaning glass is a very recent development (see "pilkington" glass company UK) and it appears no one anywhere has thought to use this very specific glass especially in the manner I have stated in the specification and drawings.
7. I agree that some of what I have put can appear non-limiting but that was not my intention. I was trying to describe something that has not existed before and this is I am sure you would agree very difficult to do as there is no like for like or comparison to compare it with. The Carbon Trust based in the UK also understood the idea and quoted references that appeared to strongly favour the idea as original and showing great potential.
8. I can only say again that with respect I say that when this is seen in the drawings and further specification it is not anticipated and this is evidenced because I have been contacted by interested parties in the USA who wanted a percentage of the idea for help to get the invention to market. I ended up speaking to them on the phone and they understood the invention and agreed with me there appeared nothing like it anywhere. The interested parties also said the idea was very timely in light of the problems with oil shortages in the US and worldwide problems on energy demands. (I can also send details to prove this if you would like me to)
9. I believe I am allowed to file a further description and drawings as long as they do not go beyond what is already detailed would deal with the issue of obviousness as set out in this particular paragraph.
10. With respect to the examiner the prior art of Warrick and Malone according to the report was prior art that was around many years before (Malone was 1982 some 25yrs before) the advent of self cleaning glass which is a very recent development by Pilkinton glass company UK and therefore the prior art could not have anticipated what I have drawn and described in detail in the specification and this is further evidenced by the interest in my patent from a US company who said my idea was timely and

they noticed its potential. They do not want money but a percentage of the patent to help get the idea to market.

11. I agree that some prior art may appear on the face of it to teach a little of what I am talking about but this is minor and my patent appears to have significant inventive step over all that has gone before with the use of self cleaning glass in such a way that no one has ever described or drawn before.
12. This paragraph and up to paragraph 14 refers to procedures and help available from the US patent office. Please understand I do not wish anything from this patent other than to make a living as I am disabled and unemployed with my disability (lost leg in a car accident) making it more difficult for me to work especially in my previous profession as an automobile mechanical engineer. Innovation is the lifeblood of the US economy and I have many ideas like this one and better but cannot bring these forward until this matter is resolved.

Thank you for your attention and I look forward to hearing from you regarding this response and amended claims, specification, and drawings

Best regards



Frank Cunningham